

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TRAVIS LONGLEY,
Plaintiff,
v.
HPC INDUSTRIAL SERVICES, LLC,
Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-cv-00860-KES-CDB

ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO CONFER
AND FILE JOINT REPORT REGARDING
CASE MANAGEMENT

ORDER VACATING SCHEDULING
CONFERENCE

(Doc. 9)

14-DAY DEADLINE

DEREK MOSS,
Plaintiff,
v.
HPC INDUSTRIAL SERVICES, LLC, *et al.*,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:24-cv-01479-KES-CDB

ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO CONFER
AND FILE JOINT REPORT REGARDING
CASE MANAGEMENT

14-DAY DEADLINE

Following the filing by Defendant HPC Industrial Services of a notice of related cases in these two actions, on January 17, 2025, District Judge Kirk E. Sherriff assigned the actions to himself and the undersigned. *See* (Case No. 1:24-cv-00860-KES-CDB (“*Longley Action*”), Doc.

11). An order relating cases under this Court's Local Rule 123 merely assigns them to the same district judge and magistrate judge, and no consolidation of cases is yet affected.

Joint Report

In their joint scheduling report, the parties in the *Longley* Action state as follows:

Given the significant overlap of claims and defenses in both the *Moss* action and the instant case, there will likely be significant overlap of discovery and trial proceedings which may result in consolidation of the two cases. While the Parties are submitting this Joint Report in recognition of the deadline and to comply with the Court's previous Order, they are separately requesting the Court jointly address *Moss* and *Longley* at a single conference in early 2025, or address both cases in a single Scheduling Order.

(*Longley* Action, Doc. 12 at 2).

The parties to the *Longley* Action have represented these actions are related, with significant overlap of discovery and trial proceedings. *See also* (Case No. 1:24-cv-01479-KES-CDB ("Moss Action"), Doc. 24). Additionally, the Court notes that both actions seek certification of a putative class. *See* (*Longley* Action, Doc. 12); (*Moss* Action, Doc. 18).

As such, the Court will direct the parties in both the *Longley* Action and the *Moss* Action to meet and confer and file a joint report within 14 days of issuance of this order, outlining a proposed joint discovery and class certification motion timeline. In the alternative, the parties may explain why the two actions should proceed on independent timelines. Additionally, the parties shall address their respective positions concerning consolidation of the actions.

Scheduling Conference

Due to the foregoing issues regarding discovery and class certification, and for the parties to comply with the requirements of the joint report addressed above, the initial scheduling conference currently set for January 29, 2025 (*Longley* Action, Doc. 9) is hereby vacated, to be reset as appropriate upon the Court's receipt of the parties' joint report.

///

///

///

Conclusion and Order

Accordingly, for the foregoing reasons, it is HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. The initial scheduling conference currently set for January 29, 2025 (*Longley* Action, Doc. 9), is VACATED.
2. **Within 14 days** of issuance of this order, the parties in both actions shall file a joint report: (1) outlining a single joint discovery and class certification motion timeline for both cases or, in the alternative, explaining why such a timeline should be held separately for each action, and (2) addressing their respective positions concerning consolidation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **January 24, 2025**


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE